Note on the generic name of the horseshoe crab.

Mr. Pocock in his article "The Taxonomy of Recent Species of Limulus" (Ann. Mag. Nat Hist. 7th ser., IX, p. 257, 1902), considers that the validity of the generic name Xiphosura rests solely on the Gronovian foundation. On the contrary, before 1785, the date of Müller's Limulus, Xiphosura was adopted by at least three binomial writers, viz: by Brunnich (Zoologiæ Fundamenta, p. 208, 1772), Scopoli (Introd. Hist. Nat., p. 405, 1777) and Meuschen (Mus. Gronov., p. 83, 1778).—Mary J. Rathbun.

A further note on the name of the Argentine Viscacha.

As has been fully shown,* there is no doubt of the pertinence of the generic name Viscaccia Schinz, 1825, to "la Vizcache," of Azara, the Argentine Viscacha. But it turns out that Oken (Lehrb. d. Naturg., Theil III, Abth. 2, p. 835, 1826) used the same term in 1816, in nearly the same sense. Oken included in his group or subgenus Viscaccia only two species: (1) Lepus chilensis and (2) Mus laniger. The first, notwith-standing the name chilensis, is based, as far as the description is concerned, wholly on "la Vizcache" of Azara, while in his diagnosis of the group Viscaccia he says "Zehen vorn 4, hinten 3," which would exclude his second species, the Mus laniger of Molina, and hence the Chinchilla of Peru. As Bennett in 1829, made the Chinchilla the type of his genus Chinchilla, the Argentine Vischacha also becomes by restriction the type and only species of Oken's Viscaccia, the authority for which name is thus Oken (1816) instead of Schinz (1825).

It also unfortunately happens that Oken's name chilensis has one year's priority over maximus of Desmarest (Dipus maximus Desm. ex Blainville M. S.). Hence, apparently, the Argentine Viscacha must be called Viscaccia chilensis (Oken). From his account of the animal, he appears to have believed, as did Azara, that it was found in Peru, and also in Chili, as shown by his reference to the use made of its fur in those countries. He gives its distribution correctly, however, as follows: "In Paraguay ist es nur westlich des Flusses Uruguay, vom 30° B. gegen Süden, südlich von Buenos Ayres sehr gemein."

Oken was almost erratic and irregular in nomenclatorial matters, viewed from the standpoint of present day usuages, as was Zimmermann in his "Specimen Zoologiæ Geographicæ" 1777. His use of the generic names Lepus and Mus for the species he placed under Viscaccia will not surprise systematists who are familiar with the character of Oken's "Lehrbuch," although the name Lepus chilensis is apparently Oken's own name. It appears to have heretofore escaped citation.—J. A. Allen.

^{*}Cf. Palmer, Science, N. S., VI, p. 21, July 2, 1897; Thomas, Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., XIV, p. 25, April 2, 1901; Allen, ibid., p. 181, Dec. 2, 1901.

[†]Nouv. Dict. d'Hist. Nat., XIII, p. 117, 1817.